



# Chronic migraine and medication overuse headache: clarifying the current International Headache Society classification criteria

#### C Sun-Edelstein<sup>1</sup>, ME Bigal<sup>2</sup> & AM Rapoport<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The New York Headache Center, New York, NY, <sup>2</sup>Merck Research Laboratories, Whitehouse Station, NJ, and <sup>3</sup>The David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

# Cephalalgia

Sun-Edelstein C, Bigal ME & Rapoport AM. Chronic migraine and medication overuse headache: clarifying the current International Headache Society classification criteria. Cephalalgia 2009; 29:445–452. London. ISSN 0333-1024

Despite the recent advances in the understanding and classification of the chronic daily headaches, considerable controversy still exists regarding the classification of individual headaches, including chronic migraine (CM) and medication overuse headache (MOH). The original criteria, published in 2004, were difficult to apply to most patients with these disorders and were subsequently revised, resulting in broader clinical applicability. Nonetheless, they remain a topic of debate, and the revisions to the criteria have further added to the confusion. Even some prominent headache specialists are unsure which criteria to use. We aimed to explain the nature of the controversies surrounding the entities of CM and MOH. A clinical case will be used to illustrate some of the problems faced by clinicians in diagnosing patients with chronic daily headache. □*Chronic migraine, medication overuse headache, ICHD-II criteria of the IHS, chronic daily headache* 

Dr Christina Sun-Edelstein MD, The New York Headache Center, 30 East 76th Street, New York, NY 10021 USA. Tel. +1-212-794-3550, fax +1-212-794-0591, e-mail drsun@nyheadache.com Received 22 February 2008, accepted 23 July 2008

### Introduction

The delineation of formal criteria for medical disorders is essential for both clinical and research purposes. In order to practice evidence-endorsed clinical medicine and to do quality research, it is mandatory that physicians and researchers use valid, reliable, agreed-upon diagnostic criteria for the clinical entities in question. The first edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-I) was published in 1988 (1) by the International Headache Society (IHS) in an effort to standardize criteria for headache disorders worldwide. Since its inception, the ICHD-I has been generally accepted by headache specialists internationally as the gold standard for classification of headache disorders. As might be expected, not everyone agreed on the details.

Although the ICHD-I represented a major advance in the understanding and classification of

headache disorders, it was difficult to apply to patients with headaches of long duration ( $\geq 4$  h/ day), on more days than not [called the chronic daily headaches (CDH)]. As a result, those patients had to be given multiple diagnoses. Commonly, they would be diagnosed with chronic tension-type headache (CTTH) and given a second diagnosis of migraine for superimposed, severe headaches. They would often require three or four different diagnoses, which is impractical in clinical practice and probably fails to correspond to disease biology. The process also violated the medical principle of diagnostic parsimony and was extremely cumbersome to utilize even for the most well-intentioned of headache clinicians (2, 3).

In the years following the publication of ICHD-I, numerous field trials were undertaken to validate or dispute the criteria for the different headache disorders (4–8). The cumulative result of this research was an evolution in the understanding and characterization of CDH and its nomenclature, leading to its refinement. In 2004, the ICHD-II was published (9). Although CDH was not included as a formal diagnosis, several different forms were described. They included chronic migraine (CM), medication overuse headache (MOH), CTTH (the only CDH incorporated in the ICHD-I), new daily persistent headache (NDPH) and hemicrania continua (HC). Since then, the criteria for MOH have already been twice revised (10, 11) and a revision for CM has also recently been published (11). Furthermore, the specific characterization of NDPH is sometimes disputed.

Because of multiple revisions in the criteria, there exists a great deal of confusion and continuing controversy, even among prominent headache specialists, about the current official criteria for CM and MOH. Therefore, we will endeavour to explain the nature of the controversy and highlight the current acceptable classification. We will also present our opinion on the usage of the most recently published criteria. A clinical case will be used to demonstrate the difficulties that clinicians face in diagnosing CM and MOH today. We hope this will enable clinicians to understand and more easily classify frequent headache disorders they see in their offices.

### The chronic daily headaches—an overview

CDH syndromes comprise a group of headache disorders that occur on  $\geq 15$  days/month, for  $\geq 4 \text{ h/day for} > 3 \text{ months.}$  As mentioned, different forms of long-duration CDH in the ICHD-II include CM, CTTH, MOH, HC and NDPH. Whereas CM, CTTH, HC and NDPH are primary headache disorders, MOH is classified in the ICHD-II as a secondary headache. ICHD-II precludes the diagnosis of any of these headache types other than MOH if the patient is overusing acute medication. In these situations, the proposed diagnosis is just MOH. MOH and CM are discussed in extensive detail below. CTTH is generally bilateral, pressing or tightening in quality, and mild to moderate in intensity, without exacerbation with movement. Associated features include no more than one of photophobia, phonophobia or mild nausea, and neither moderate nor severe nausea or vomiting. HC is an indomethacin-responsive headache characterized by unilateral, continuous pain that fluctuates in intensity. Ipsilateral autonomic features frequently accompany exacerbations of pain. Although HC was previously classified as a trigeminal autonomic cephalgia, it is no longer

characterized as such due to its continuous nature (12). As the name implies, NDPH is daily and unremitting from onset. Its features are otherwise the same as for CTTH. NDPH can appear in either a self-limited form that generally resolves after several months without intervention, or a refractory form that does not respond to treatment. There is still considerable debate over the classification and clinical description of NDPH, as only a few studies describing the clinical features have been published (13).

Another classification system, the Silberstein and Lipton (S-L) criteria (14), divides the CDHs into transformed migraine (TM), CTTH, HC and NDPH, with subtypes reflecting whether or not there is acute medication overuse. The S-L criteria were used largely before the publication of the ICHD-II, since the ICHD-I did not include CM. Many still consider the S-L approach clinically more intuitive and are using it in their research and publications. That is largely because TM with medication overuse captures the longitudinal changes in patients over time, and is based on the clinical experience that evolving migraine (TM or CM) may or may not occur in the context of medication overuse.

# The evolving ICHD approach to CM (see Table 1)

ICHD-II (9) included criteria for CM, which was classified as a complication of migraine. The original criteria for CM required headaches to meet criteria for migraine without aura on  $\geq 15$  days/month, for  $\geq 3$  months, without medication overuse. However, this system was heavily criticized by clinicians attempting to categorize their patients with CDH. The criteria were felt to be overly restrictive in that they required the daily headache to meet criteria for migraine without aura on  $\geq 15$  days/month, which did not apply to most patients they saw with CDH. Even episodic migraine lasting for 2–3 days does not necessarily meet IHS migraine criteria each day of the attack (15).

# Subsequent proposals for CM

The problems associated with diagnosing CM clearly called for a revision in the criteria. To address these issues, several alternative criteria for CM were subsequently proposed. One of these proposals (3) suggested that CM criteria should also include days of probable migraine (PM), and that CM could be diagnosed in the context of

Classification of CM prior to 2004 (ICHD-I)	Classification of CM using the ICHD-II (9)	Classification of CM using the ICHD-IIR (11)
CM diagnosis did not exist	<ul> <li>Description:</li> <li>Migraine headache occurring on ≥ 15 days/month for &gt;3 months in the absence of medication overuse</li> <li>Diagnostic criteria:</li> <li>A. Headache fulfilling criteria C and D for 1.1 Migraine without aura on ≥ 15 days/month for &gt;3 months</li> <li>B. Not attributed to another disorder</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appendix 1.5.1 Chronic migraine</li> <li>A. Headache (tension-type and/or migraine) on ≥ 15 days/month for ≥3 months</li> <li>B. Occurring in a patient who has had at least five attacks fulfilling criteria for 1.1. Migraine without aura</li> <li>C. On ≥ 8 days/month for ≥ 3 months headache has fulfilled criteria for pain and associated symptoms of migraine without aura or patient has been successfully treated with an ergot or triptan</li> <li>D. No medication overuse and not attributed to another causative disorder</li> </ul>

Table 1 The evolving diagnosis of chronic migraine (CM)

medication overuse. PM, as defined by the ICHD-II, is diagnosed in patients who have attacks fulfilling all but one of the criteria for migraine. Another proposal called for a diagnosis of CM in patients with  $\geq 15$  days of headache per month, of which  $\geq$  50% of the headache days were migraine or PM (Silberstein, in a presentation to the Classification Committee of the American Headache Society, 2005). A third proposal called for diagnosis of CM in patients with  $\geq 15$  headache days per month, of which  $\geq 8$  days were migraine or PM (Bigal et al. in a presentation to the Classification Committee of the American Headache Society, 2005). Field testing of the ICHD-II CM criteria and the three proposals was undertaken (16), using the S-L TM diagnosis as a reference point. Of 399 patients with TM with medication overuse, only 10.2% had  $\geq$  15 days of migraine. An even smaller proportion of patients with TM without medication overuse fulfilled criteria for CM (5.6%). The percentage of patients with TM with medication overuse who fulfilled criteria for proposals 1-3 was 37, 81 and 91%, respectively. Given the statistical significance of these results, the suggestion was made to revise the criteria for CM.

#### Revised criteria for CM

Subsequently, in 2006, the Headache Classification Committee published more inclusive criteria for CM, in which the disorder is defined by headaches on  $\geq 15$  days/month, for  $\geq 3$  months, of which  $\geq 8$  of the days fulfil criteria for migraine without aura or were successfully treated with acute care

© Blackwell Publishing Ltd Cephalalgia, 2008, 29, 445-452

medications such as ergots or triptans (11). The article has been posted under the 'Guidelines' section of the IHS website, and the criteria have been endorsed by the Chairman and subcommittee chairs of the ICHD-II Classification Committee. Though these criteria are intended for the Appendix of the ICHD-II, they have not yet been included at the time of this publication. Once these criteria are validated by field testing, they will be incorporated into the main section of a future edition of the ICHD, although this may be many years away. One study (17) has already shown that when using TM without medication overuse as a reference point, 92.4% of those patients met criteria for CM using the 2006 revised criteria, compared with 5.6% that qualified as CM with the ICHD-II. At present, some headache clinicians are already using these revised CM criteria in practice, as they intuitively make the most sense, but many others are not.

Herein lies the crux of the confusion: whereas some physicians consider the revised 2006 criteria official, given their endorsement by the Headache Classification Committee, others believe that those criteria are not official until they are incorporated into the body of the ICHD. This means that not all headache specialists are using the same criteria, making current headache classification and therefore diagnoses very uneven throughout the world. In light of the fact that there may not be an ICHD-III for many years, this lack of consensus could continue for a long time, creating ongoing confusion in diagnosis and clinical trials.

Table 2 The evolving diagnosis of medication overuse headache (MOH)

Classification of MOH prior to 2004	Classification using ICHD-II (9)	Classification using ICHD-IIR1 (2005) (10)	Classification using ICHD-IIR (11)
<ul> <li>Formal MOH diagnosis did not exist</li> <li>ICHD-I included diagnosis 8.2 Headache induced by chronic substance use or exposure</li> <li>Diagnostic criteria:</li> <li>A. Occurs after daily doses of a substance for ≥ 3 months</li> <li>B. A certain required minimum dose should be indicated</li> <li>C. Headache is chronic (≥ 15 days/month)</li> <li>D. Headache disappears within 1 month after withdrawal of the substance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Diagnostic criteria:</li> <li>A. Headache present on &gt;15 days/month fulfilling criteria C and D</li> <li>B. Regular overuse for &gt;3 months of one or more drugs that can be taken for acute and/or symptomatic treatment of headache</li> <li>C. Headache has developed or markedly worsened during medication overuse</li> <li>D. Headache resolves or reverts to its previous pattern within 2 months after discontinuation of overused medication</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Changes made to ICHD-II:</li> <li>1. Elimination of headache characteristics</li> <li>2. Addition of new subform <i>Medication-overuse</i> attributed to combination of acute medications that accounts for patients overusing medications of different classes but not any single class</li> <li>The 2-month medication withdrawal period was still required for diagnosis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Diagnostic criteria:</li> <li>A. Headache present on ≥15 days/month</li> <li>B. Regular overuse for &gt;3 months of one or more acute/symptomatic treatment drugs as defined under subforms</li> <li>C. Headache has developed or markedly worsened during medication overuse</li> <li>MOH diagnosis can be given before 2-month withdrawal period has elapsed, i.e. diagnosis should be made in patients with primary beadache and concurrent</li> </ul>
Subforms included: 8.2.1 Ergotamine-induced headache, 8.2.2 Analgesics abuse headache, and 8.2.3 Other substances	Subforms included: Ergotamine-overuse headache, triptan-overuse headache, analgesic-overuse headache, opioid-overuse headache, combination medication-overuse headache, headache attributed to other medication overuse, and probable MOH Headache characteristics were included for all subforms except opioid-overuse headache, headache attributed to other medication overuse, and probable MOH		medication overuse

#### Medication overuse headache (see Table 2)

Prior to 2004, most doctors referred to MOH as rebound headache. The MOH diagnosis itself did not exist. Instead, the ICHD-I included the section 'Headache associated with substances or their withdrawal,' under which *Headache induced by chronic substance use or exposure* and *Headache from substance withdrawal (chronic use)* were subtypes. Criteria for *Headache induced by chronic substance use or exposure* specified that this was a chronic headache ( $\geq$  15 days/month), which occurred after daily doses of a substance for  $\geq 3$  months, and disappeared within 1 month after withdrawal of the substance. The diagnosis could only be made retrospectively, after withdrawal of the offending drug. Subtypes included 8.2.1 Ergotamine induced headache, 8.2.2 Analgesics abuse headache, and 8.3.3 Other substances. Criteria for Headache from substance withdrawal (chronic use) stated that the headache occurred after use of a high daily dose of a substance for  $\geq 3$  months, occurred within hours after elimination of the substance, and disappeared within 14 days after

substance withdrawal. Subforms included *8.4.1 Ergotamine withdrawal headache, 8.4.2 caffeine withdrawal headache, 8.4.3 Narcotics abstinence headache* and 8.4.4 *Other substances.* 

In the ICHD-II (9), MOH was defined as a headache present on  $\geq 15$  days/month, with regular overuse for >3 months of one or more drugs used for acute and/or symptomatic headache treatment. Criteria also required MOH to develop or markedly worsen during the period of medication overuse, and resolve or revert to its previous pattern within 2 months after discontinuation of the offending agent. Therefore, detoxification was required to make an official diagnosis. This meant deferring a diagnosis on a new patient for  $\geq 2$  months. Subtypes of MOH attributed to different medications, including ergotamine, triptans, analgesics, opioids and combination agents (simple analgesics combined with opioids, butalbital and/or caffeine) were delineated. Those criteria also specified the number of usage days per month that were required to classify a patient with a particular type of MOH. Usage of ergots, triptans, opioids or combination analgesics on  $\geq$  10 days/month was required to make the diagnosis of MOH, while  $\geq 15$  days/month were needed for simple analgesic-overuse headache. In addition, the typical headache features associated with each MOH subtype were described in detail and were extremely controversial, probably inaccurate and not universally accepted.

According to the ICHD-II, if medication overuse was present, but the other criteria for CM were met, then the diagnoses of probable MOH and probable CM were both given. If headaches persisted 2 months after withdrawal of the overused medication, then a diagnosis of CM was given, as CM could not be diagnosed in a patient with overuse. If the headaches improved, then MOH was confirmed, albeit retrospectively, and the patient was reclassified, often as episodic migraine. Most considered this system cumbersome and not representative of what they were seeing clinically.

In 2005, a revision to the ICHD-II criteria for MOH were published (ICHD-IIR1) (10). The major changes were the elimination of the headache characteristics for each MOH subtype, and the inclusion of a new subform called *MOH attributed to combination of acute medications*. This new subform allowed for the diagnosis of MOH in patients who were overusing medications of different classes, but not any single class. A 2-month period of withdrawal was still required before a definitive

diagnosis of MOH could be made, and patients continued to be given a diagnosis of PM until that time.

## Problems with the ICHD-IIR1

Although the various changes improved the classification, published studies showed that many CDH patients could still not be easily classified (2). The ICHD-II and ICHD-IIR1 were cumbersome in that patients overusing medication, a large proportion of the CDH population, were given three diagnoses (probable CM, probable MOH, and migraine with or without aura) during the period of medication withdrawal. It was also awkward, because MOH could not be diagnosed until after the overused medication had been stopped and therefore could not be diagnosed while the patient actually had the disorder. Because of these multiple diagnoses and the uncertainty of the diagnoses during medication withdrawal, these patients could not be included in clinical trials. As a result, there was a lack of carefully designed clinical trials to guide the optimal management of these patients.

Criteria for MOH were again revised by the Headache Classification Committee in 2006 (11), eliminating the requirement that the headache resolves within 2 months after the discontinuation of the overused medication. Accordingly, if a patient has headache on  $\geq 15$  days/month in the setting of >3 months of regular overuse of one or more acute care medications, and the headache has developed or markedly worsened during the period of medication overuse, then a diagnosis of MOH can be made. If the headache persists after 2 months of withdrawal, then a new diagnosis of CM is given. In effect, the controversial, temporary terms 'probable CM' and 'probable MOH' are eliminated.

Like the newest criteria for CM, this latest revision of the MOH criteria is intended for the appendix of the ICHD-II, but has not been included yet. Although Professor Jes Olesen, Chairman of the Classification Subcommittee of the IHS, has been working on a complete version of the ICHD-IIR, it has yet to be published (Olesen J, personal communication, 2008). For now, the article including the latest MOH criteria (11), which is the same article outlining the new appendix criteria for CM, is posted under the 'ICHD' section of the IHS website. Because these criteria are not yet included in the actual text of the ICHD-II, much confusion exists as to whether they are considered official and should be used at this time. As with the new criteria for CM, some clinicians are already using these newest MOH criteria, whereas others are not.

### **Clinical case**

A 42-year-old woman presents with daily headache for the past 5 years. Her headaches started when she was in her mid 20s. Initially, she had one to two headaches per month, frequently around her menses. Headaches were located in the left temple, severe, and generally lasted 8–12 h, untreated. Associated features included photophobia and phonophobia, and occasional nausea. Ibuprofen was usually effective in decreasing the intensity of the headaches, but did not terminate them.

Over the years, her headache frequency increased to five to seven per month. When triptans became available, the patient started using sumatriptan 6-mg subcutaneous injections, which usually aborted the headache completely within 1 h. If complete relief did not occur, she would use 400 mg of ibuprofen every 4 h until the headache abated. Headache frequency continued to increase, and the pain became progressively less responsive to triptans and ibuprofen. Approximately 5 years ago, a daily pattern emerged, which has persisted despite seven adequate trials of preventive medications, including  $\beta$ -blockers, tricyclic antidepressants, and three antiepileptics. At present she has a low-grade, featureless, holocephalic headache all day, every day. She has severe exacerbation of throbbing pain, usually involving the left orbito-temporal area, lasting 6-12 h two to three times per week, associated with nausea and photophobia. She uses 1-2 tablets of frovatriptan 2.5 mg at least 3 days per week, and 2-6 tablets of ibuprofen 200 mg daily.

# **Case discussion**

This patient has CDH, given the presence of headache on > 15 days/month. The underlying headache, which started in her 20s, meets criteria for migraine without aura. Over time, the headaches have transformed from an episodic pattern into a chronic one, consistent with and most likely as a result of medication overuse. The debatable issue here is how to classify her headache disorder(s).

Using ICHD-II, without the newest 2006 criteria, the antecedent headache would be coded 1.1 *Migraine without aura*, and because of the ongoing overuse of triptans and ibuprofen, probable MOH would be diagnosed. Although the chronic headache has evolved from migraine without aura, she presently does not fit ICHD-II criteria for CM, given that she does not have 15 days of migraine per month, and also given that she has ongoing medication overuse. If, after a 2-month period of acute medication withdrawal, the headache reverts to an episodic pattern, the diagnosis of MOH can be made (retrospectively only). If no change in the daily pattern occurs after withdrawal of the acute medications, then a diagnosis of CTTH would have to be made, even though the antecedent headache is clearly migraine without aura. She would then also be given a diagnosis of 1.1 *Migraine without aura*.

Using the 2006 criteria, the antecedent headache would still be migraine without aura. However, MOH (as opposed to probable MOH) could be diagnosed during the period of overuse. If the headache pattern remains chronic after a 2-month period of medication withdrawal, then the MOH diagnosis would be dropped and a diagnosis of CM (15 days of headache per month, with  $\geq 8$  days of migraine without aura per month) could be made. This eliminates the cumbersome term 'probable' and allows for the diagnostic terminology to correspond to the clinical progression from episodic to chronic migraine (although a term like transformed chronic migraine might have been more descriptive). Using these revised criteria obviously allows for more streamlined and intuitive headache diagnosis.

Please see Table 3 for several brief clinical case scenarios.

# Continued controversies (see Table 4)

Though ICHD-IIR appears to address most of the problems associated with ICHD-II, debatable issues regarding the classification system still exist. For example, the association between CM and MOH is controversial. Currently, it not clear whether MOH is a cause or result of migraine progression, although evidence points towards MOH as a risk factor for CDH (18-20). As such, the designation of MOH as a separate entity in the ICHD-II is controversial. Second, although the ICHD-IIR criteria for CM seem to correlate well with TM, the association may not be as strong in the general population, since the use of migrainespecific medications (triptans and ergots) occurs in a far smaller proportion of the general population (approximately one-third) than in headache subspecialty care (up to 80%) (17, 18). Also, if CM is based on the use of specific medications (triptans and ergots), the prevalence will be higher in countries where those medications are readily available

#### Table 3 Clinical scenarios

Brief clinical case	Diagnosis using ICHD-IIR
38F with mild-moderate headache every day for 3 years. Prior to daily headache had migraines 1–5 days/month. Now has migraine 10 days/month. Previously used sumatriptan every other day but has been using it only twice per week for the last 6 months. Does not use any other acute care medications	СМ
38F with mild–moderate headache every day for 3 years. Prior to daily headache had migraines 1–5 days/month. Now has migraine 10 days/month. Has been using sumatriptan every other day for 2 years. Does not use any other acute care medications	МОН
38F with mild-moderate headache every day for 3 years. Prior to daily headache had migraines 1–5 days/month. Now has migraine 4 days/month. She previously used sumatriptan every other day but has been using it once per week for the last 6 months. She does not use any other acute medications	СТТН
38F with mild–moderate headache every day for 3 years. Prior to daily headache had migraines 1–5 days/month. Now has migraine 4 days/month. Has been using sumatriptan every other day for 2 years. Does not use any other acute care medications	МОН
38F with mild-moderate headache every day for 3 years, starting on the day after Thanksgiving. No significant prior headache history. No severe exacerbations. Uses naproxen twice per week	NDPH
38F with mild-moderate left-sided headache every day for 3 years. No significant prior headache history. Has severe exacerbation 10 days/month, associated with left ptosis and lacrimation. Uses naproxen for exacerbations, which helps a little	НС

CM, chronic migraine; MOH, medication overuse headache; CTTH, chronic tension-type headache; NDPH, new daily persistent headache; HC, hemicrania continua.

Table 4 Unresolved issues and potential problems

- 1. Unclear relationship between CM and MOH. Is MOH a cause or result of CM?
- 2. Correlation between CM and TM is much higher in the headache subspecialty population compared with the general population
- 3. Prevalence of MOH varies worldwide depending on cost and availability of acute care medications such as triptans

4. The classification system does not map onto biology, in the absence of a biological marker for migraine

CM, chronic migraine; MOH, medication overuse headache; TM, transformed migraine.

to patients, compared with countries such as Canada that use step-care (21) and South American countries, where triptans are not usually as heavily promoted or affordable. Also very few countries other than the USA and Canada have butalbital-containing medication, which accounts for a significant proportion of the overused medications in those countries (22, 23). Lastly, the classification may not correlate with biology, in the absence of a biological marker for migraine.

#### Conclusion

The diagnosis and classification of headache disorders continues to evolve as our understanding of the natural history of CDH improves. However, during this period of expanding knowledge, researchers and clinicians face a significant conundrum: without diagnostic criteria that have been validated by clinical experience and trials, and/ or are widely accepted by headache specialists internationally, it is difficult to conduct reliable research and to diagnose accurately. By extension, without good clinical research, clinical guidelines cannot be established for the treatment of patients suffering from different forms of CDH. Our understanding is that the 2006 criteria (11) are the current official criteria and should be used for research purposes and in the clinical setting. Although the frequent revisions in the criteria can be confusing to any clinician, even the headache specialist, they reflect the dynamic nature of headache research today.

In conclusion, it is our strong opinion that we should adopt the changes delineated in ICHD-IIR for the diagnosis of CM and MOH immediately, not years from now. Furthermore, we need to spread the information about the new criteria and its adoption far and wide so we can all diagnose these common conditions more effectively and consistently. This can be done with the help of the IHS, the American Headache Society, the European Headache Foundation, headache journals, and the teaching of doctors with interest and knowledge in headache.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Jes Olesen for his comments regarding this manuscript. No pharmacological support has been received for this paper.

#### References

- 1 Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias, and facial pain. Cephalalgia 1988; 8 (Suppl. 7):1–96.
- 2 Bigal ME, Tepper SJ, Sheftell FD, Rapoport AM, Lipton RB. Chronic daily headache: correlation between the 2004 and 1988 International Headache Society diagnostic criteria. Headache 2004; 44:684–91.
- 3 Bigal ME, Rapoport AM, Tepper SJ, Sheftell FD, Lipton RB. The classification of chronic daily headache in adolescents—a comparison between the second edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders and alternative diagnostic criteria. Headache 2005; 45:582–9.
- 4 Solomon S, Lipton RB, Newman LC. Evaluation of chronic daily headache—comparison to criteria for chronic tension-type headache. Cephalalgia 1992; 12: 365–8.
- 5 Sanin LC, Mathew NT, Bellmyer LR, Ali S. The International Headache Society (IHS) headache classification as applied to a headache clinic population. Cephalalgia 1994; 14:443–6.
- 6 Manzoni GC, Granella F, Sandrini G, Cavallini A, Zanferrari C, Nappi G. Classification of chronic daily headache by International Headache Society criteria: limits and new proposals. Cephalalgia 1995; 15:37–43.
- 7 Olesen J, Rasmussen BK. The International Headache Society classification of chronic daily and near-daily headaches: a critique of the criticism. Cephalalgia 1996; 16:407–11.
- 8 Bigal ME, Sheftell FD, Rapoport AM, Lipton RB, Tepper SJ. Chronic daily headache in a tertiary care population: correlation between the International Headache Society diagnostic criteria and proposed revisions of criteria for chronic daily headache. Cephalalgia 2002; 22: 432–8.

- 9 Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edn. Cephalalgia 2004; 24 (Suppl. 1):1–160.
- 10 Silberstein SD, Olesen J, Bousser MG, Diener HC, Dodick D, First M et al. on behalf of the International Headache Society. Brief report: the international classification of headache disorders, 2nd edition (ICHD-II)-revision of criteria for 8.2 *Medication-overuse headache*. Cephalalgia 2005; 25:460–5.
- 11 Headache Classification Committee; Olesen J, Bousser MG, Diener HC, Dodick D, First M et al. New appendix criteria open for a broader concept of chronic migraine. Cephalalgia 2006; 26:742–6.
- 12 Matharu MS, Boes CJ, Goadsby PJ. Management of trigeminal autonomic cephalgia and hemicrania continua. Drugs 2003; 63:1637–77.
- 13 Rozen TD, Jensen R. New daily persistent headache. In: Olesen J, Goadsby PJ, Ramadan NM, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA, eds. The headaches, 3rd edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2006:855–7.
- 14 Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Sliwinski M. Classification of daily and near-daily headaches; field trial of revised IHS criteria. Neurology 1996; 47:871–5.
- 15 Silberstein SD. Chronic migraine: diagnosis and management strategy. Rev Neurol Dis 2004; 1:155–60.
- 16 Bigal ME, Tepper SJ, Sheftell FD, Rapoport AM, Lipton RB. Field testing alternative criteria for chronic migraine. Cephalalgia 2006; 26:477–82.
- 17 Bigal M, Rapoport A, Sheftell F, Tepper S, Lipton R. The International Classification of Headache Disorders revised criteria for chronic migraine—field testing in a headache specialty clinic. Cephalalgia 2007; 27:230–4.
- 18 Bigal ME, Lipton RB. Modifiable risk factors for migraine progression. Headache 2006; 46:1334–43.
- 19 Wilkinson SM, Becker WJ, Heine JA. Opiate use to control bowel motility may induce chronic daily headache in patients with migraine. Headache 2001; 41:303–9.
- 20 Bahra A, Walsh M, Menon S, Goadsby PJ. Does chronic daily headache arise *de novo* in association with regular use of analgesics? Headache 2003; 43:179–90.
- 21 Pryce-Philipps WE, Dodick DW, Edmeads JG, Gawel MJ, Nelson RF, Purdy RA et al. for the Canadian Headache Society. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of migraine in clinical practice. CMAJ 1997; 156:1273–87.
- 22 Lipton RB, Scher AI, Kolodner K, Liberman J, Steiner TJ, Stewart WF. Migraine in the United States: epidemiology and patterns of health care use. Neurology 2002; 58: 885–94.
- 23 Devine JW, Farley JF, Hadsall RS. Patterns and predictors of prescription medication use in the management of headache: findings from the 2000 medical expenditure panel survey. Headache 2005; 45:1171–80.