OPINION > COLUMNISTS

You and the Law: What you don't know about the ADA can cost you

By DENNIS BEAVER I

PUBLISHED: February 26, 2019 at 12:00 am I UPDATED: February 26, 2019 at 9:59 am

"I inherited a commercial rental property recently that was built in the 1940s. I understand that the Americans with Disability Act requires accessibility, but all the doorways to these shops are too narrow to allow someone in a wheelchair to enter.

"Is it true that because it was built years before the ADA became law, I am 'grandfathered in,' and do not have to meet current accessibility requirements? A contractor told me that to meet ADA requirements, I would have to tear down and rebuild as there is no other way. So, am I safe in doing nothing?"

Myths about the ADA

Is there such a thing as being "grandfathered in" to the ADA, allowing a property owner a free-pass for accessibility compliance? We put our reader's question to Sacramento ADA attorney Chris Vaughan.

"This is a question I get asked often," Vaughan said. "There is no provision which allows avoiding compliance since buildings are not 'grandfathered,' he stated, adding, "There may be some differences in how the law is applied to a building that existed before the ADA became law, but there is no way to avoid improving access."

It is one of several myths about the ADA's accessibility requirements, he says, and points out the importance of the ADA to our country as a whole.

ADVERTISING

"Since its becoming law in January of 1990, the ADA established comprehensive protection for people with a variety of disabilities and has sought to remove barriers to full participation in all that society has to offer. It has been a highly successful tool in the reduction of discrimination against the disabled. From the day it went into effect, any business or property open to the public was required to meet ADA accessibility requirements."

Vaughan outlined some of the commonly held myths about the ADA which also has versions in every state:

 Since the property has been in existence 30 or more years, I am excused from making alterations necessary to make it meet current disabled access requirements. "Generally speaking, a building existing when the ADA went into effect does not have to strictly comply with its requirements if to do so would require an unusual expense or be unusually difficult. The rules must be complied with if readily achievable to do so."

He was quick to add, "But if you cannot strictly comply, you still must improve the property as much as you can to provide disabled access, and this might include alternative compliance or facilitation when strict compliance cannot be achieved.

"An example would be a vendor who cannot provide access to the interior of its facility might comply with the law by having curbside service in some circumstances. The whole idea is to make your property accessible through other means."

 ${}^{\bullet}$ My lease says the tenant is responsible for ADA so I do not have any liability.

"Under federal ADA law, both tenant and landlord are equally responsible for compliance. However, liability between them can be assigned or allocated in the lease. They can agree who is responsible for what.

"For example, the lease could specify that while the tenants occupy the premises, they are required to make any changes necessary to bring the property into ADA compliance."

I asked, "But what if both landlord and tenant are sued for a violation of the ADA? If the lease makes it the tenant's obligation to comply with the ADA, will this allow the landlord to get out of the lawsuit?

"No," he replied, "lease provisions — who is responsible for what — can't be used as a defense against the person who filed suit for a violation of the ADA. Both tenant and landlord are still legally responsible, even though they have an agreement between themselves."

· If I fix it, I don't have to pay the person who sued me anything.

"Under both federal and state law (which will vary depending on the state) damages are still collectable regardless of fixing the access issue, and can easily run into the thousands of dollars. "In fact, yearly, many small business owners are forced to close their doors permanently, losing their livelihood, frequently where the access violation was minor and easily remedied."

It's not a big deal if I wait until I'm sued to do something.

"The only way to avoid being sued is to fix the property. If you wait until you are sued, you will have to pay to fix it and pay your attorney, the plaintiff's attorney, and the plaintiff," he observes.

So, how can you learn what's wrong with your property?

"Obtain an evaluation from a Certified Access Specialist and do it before you are sued," Vaughan concludes.

Dennis Beaver

Dennis Beaver practices law in Bakersfield and welcomes comments and questions from readers, which may be faxed to 661-323-7993, or emailed to Lagombeaver1@gmail.com. Also, visit dennisbeaver.com.

Subject: Fwd: From Beaver - Reader comment

Date: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 at 5:48:05 PM Pacific Standard Time

From: Cris Vaughan

To: eric@corebelo.com

CC: Nicki Smith, Taylor Wright

Comment on article.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis Beaver < lagombeaver1@gmail.com>

Date: February 26, 2019 at 16:07:07 PST To: cvaughan@adasolutionsgroup.com Subject: From Beaver - Reader comment

Sincerely,

H. Dennis Beaver, Esq.

Office: +1 (661) 323-7911 Fax: " " 323-7993 Cell: " " 203-2805 Home: " " 871-2255

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Steve Comnick < coorssc2000@yahoo.com >

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:19 PM

Subject: 2/26/19 Eureka Times-Standard Article To: Dennis Beaver < lagombeaver1@gmail.com>

Dennis:

Great Column Today! It describes the Humboldt County, we talked about it last week, perfectly. Here in "Podunk" the business owners simply couldn't comply.

I am all for the ADA, but I found it irritating that Lawyer Martin & lacky seemed to highlight going at high profile businesses. I'm sure he got other businesses but certainly not many.

Mr. Vaughan is a tremendous resource. It should be required reading for business owners. I wasn't clear on the landlord/tenant situation.

Take care & be well, Steve Comnick Eureka, CA

- - -