<u>Litigation Support Experience - Tal Lavian, Ph.D.</u>

| 2017: | Stern Kessler Goldstein Fox |
|--|--|
| Case: | Apple V. Uniloc USA . IPR2017-01804 |
| Patent No: | 8,724,622 |
| Project: | IPR expert report |
| Description: | IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp) |
| | |
| 2017: | Wolf Greenfield LLP |
| Case: | IPR for Huawei and RPX |
| Patent No: | 6,738,378 & 6,252,848 |
| Project: | IPR expert report |
| Description: | Patents in network communications |
| | |
| 2017: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP |
| Case: | LG and Huawei V. Intellectual Venture |
| Patent No: | 8,994,433 |
| Project: | IPR expert report |
| Description: | IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp) |
| | |
| 2017: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP |
| Case: | FedEx (RPX) v. Intellectual Ventures IPR2017-00729, IPR2017-00741 |
| Patent No: | 8,494,581, 6,633,900 |
| Project: | Wrote expert reports, deposed |
| Description: | Patents related to mobile devices |
| | |
| 2017: | |
| 2017: | Perkins Coie LLP |
| Case: | Perkins Coie LLP T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. |
| | |
| Case: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. |
| Case: Patent No: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 |
| Case: Patent No: Project: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents |
| Case: Patent No: Project: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2017: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717, 9,124,718, |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2017: Case: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717, 9,124,718, 9,203,870, 9,203,956, 9,215,310 and 9,219,810, |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2017: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717, 9,124,718, 9,203,870, 9,203,956, 9,215,310 and 9,219,810, Further IPRs for Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717 |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2017: Case: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717, 9,124,718, 9,203,870, 9,203,956, 9,215,310 and 9,219,810, Further IPRs for Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717 and 9,124,718 |
| Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2017: Case: | T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P. 8,559,369 and 9,042,306 Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data Cooley, LLP Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717, 9,124,718, 9,203,870, 9,203,956, 9,215,310 and 9,219,810, Further IPRs for Patent Nos. 8,892,465, 9,118,693, 9,124,717 |

| Description: | Patents related to cellular systems using OFDM |
|--|---|
| 2 cccription. | Tatorito folatos to consider dycterilo doning of Divi |
| 2016: | Cooley, LLP |
| Case: | Facebook, WhatsApp. V. Uniloc USA, Inc. |
| | 8,199,747, 8,995,433 8,243,723,and US 7,535,890 |
| Patent No: | IPR2017-01524, IPR2017-01365, IPR2017-01523 |
| Project: | Expert for defendant Facebook. Wrote IPR expert reports. |
| Description: | Patents related to VoIP, Cellphone Short Messages, Chat app |
| · | |
| 2016: | Akerman LLP |
| Case: | YMax Corporation v. Focal IP, LLC |
| Patent No: | 7,764,777, 8,155,298 8,724,622 and 8,457,113 |
| Project: | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, YMax corp. Wrote |
| Project. | three IPR expert reports for the PTAB. Deposed. |
| Description: | Patents related to telecommunicators architecture, PSTN, and |
| Description. | VoIP |
| | |
| 2016: | Devlin Law Firm LLC |
| Case: | ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co., LTD |
| Patent No: | Patent Nos. 6,957,287, 7,472,217, 7,640,289 and 8,589,141 |
| Project: | Expert for plaintiff ATEN. Wrote several infringement expert |
| | reports. |
| I DECLIDITUDE | |
| Description: | Patents related to KVM switch architectures |
| · | |
| 2016: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP |
| · | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. |
| 2016: Case: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization Cooley, LLP |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization Cooley, LLP Facebook IPR PTAB v. Windy City Patent Nos. US 8,458,245, 8,407,356, 8,473,552 and |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization Cooley, LLP Facebook IPR PTAB v. Windy City Patent Nos. US 8,458,245, 8,407,356, 8,473,552 and 8,694,657 |
| 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: 2016: Case: Patent No: Project: Description: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP FedEx Corp. and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. Patent Nos. 6,633,900 and 8,494,581 Expert for the defendant FedEx. Wrote two IPR expert reports Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Warner Norcross & Judd Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation Patent Nos. 6,016,307, 6,473,404, 6,144,641, 8,400,926 and 9,036,499 Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration. Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization Cooley, LLP Facebook IPR PTAB v. Windy City Patent Nos. US 8,458,245, 8,407,356, 8,473,552 and |

| Project: | Expert for petitioner Facebook, Inc., wrote a PPR expert report for the PTAB |
|---|---|
| Description: | Patents related to network communications |
| 2 0001111111111111111111111111111111111 | Taxonic rolates to network communications |
| 2016: | Winston & Strawn |
| Case: | RPX IPR PTAB filing |
| Patent No: | Patent No. 8,788,090 |
| Project: | Expert for petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB |
| Description: | Patents related to network communications |
| | |
| 2015 | Cooley, LLP |
| Parties: | ServiceNow, Inc. v. BMC Software, Inc. |
| Cases: | USPTO PTAB IPR Cases: IPR2015-01555; IPR2015-01211; |
| | IPR2015-01631; IPR2015-01601. CBM Case CBM2015- |
| Patent #'s: | 00170 , 2:14-CV-00903 No. 8,646,093; No. 8,646,093 (CBM as well), No. 7,617,073; |
| | No. 8,674,992; No. 6,816,898 |
| Projects: | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., |
| | wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for PTAB, wrote expert |
| Description: | reports for claim constructions Patents related to network management |
| Description. | T atents related to network management |
| 2015 | Cooley, LLP |
| Parties: | ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company |
| Cases: | USPTO PTAB IPR Cases IPR2015-00707; IPR2015-00716; |
| | IPR2015-00631; IPR2015-00717; IPR2015-00707. CBM |
| | Cases CBM2015-00108; CBM2015-00077 |
| Patent #'s: | No. 7,925,981; No. 7,945,860; No. 7,392,300; No. 7,027,411; |
| Projects: | No. 7,925,981 No. 7,945,860 (CBM); No. 7,925,981 (CBM) Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner ServiceNow, Inc., |
| Frojecis. | wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for the PTAB |
| Depositions: | Cases IPR2015-00631, IPR2015-00717 |
| Description: | Patents related to Web services and Internet technologies |
| | |
| 2015: | Holland & Knight, LLP |
| Case: | SNMP Research International, Inc., et al. v. Avaya, Inc. |
| Project: | Expert for defendant Avaya, Inc., wrote expert reports, was deposed |
| Description: | Network management for IP-PBX |

| 2015: | Perkins Coie, LLP |
|--------------|---|
| 2010. | Qurio Holdings v. DIRECTV |
| Case: | Case No. 15-cv-01986-HSG (N.D. CA), and 3 IPR petitions |
| | IPR2015-02005, IPR2015-02006, IPR2015-02007 |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patents Nos. 7,787,904, 8,102,863 and 8,879,567 |
| Duningto | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner DIRECTV, wrote three |
| Project: | IPR expert reports |
| Descriptions | Mobile device control, WAN to wireless LAN gateway, wireless |
| Description: | LAN gateway |
| | |
| 2015: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & |
| | Dunner, LLP |
| Case: | FedEx Corporation and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc., |
| Detent No. | Petitioner v. Loramax, LLC, Patent Owner |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patents No. 5,689,642 and 5,513,126 |
| Droject | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner FedEx Corporation, |
| Project: | wrote an IPR expert report |
| Descriptions | (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed) |
| Description: | Improving communication between devices or stations |
| 2015: | McGuire Woods, LLP |
| Case: | Expert for GT&T |
| Project: | Expert for a cellular telecommunications company |
| Description: | Undisclosed |
| | |
| 2015: | Wolf Greenfield, LLP |
| Case: | MD Security Solutions, LLC v. RPX |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983 |
| Project: | Expert for IPR petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report |
| Description: | Network communications for alarm systems |
| | |
| 2015: | Simpson Thacher & Bartlett, LLP |
| Case: | IXI Mobile v. Apple Inc. 14-cv-7954 SDNY |
| Patent No. | US 7,295,532; US 7,426,398; US 7,016,648; and US |
| | 7,039,033 |
| Project: | Searched for prior art, prepared invalidity claim charts |
| Description: | Invalidity claim charts related to iPhone, iPad, and others, |
| | Apple's mobile wireless products and technologies |
| 2015: | Havov Williams LLD |
| 2015: | Hovey Williams, LLP |
| Case: | USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (NetAirus) |
| Project: | Patent App. 13/432.775 Wrote a short declaration for an office action |
| Project: | |
| Description: | Desktop computer configuring the system |
| | |

| 2014: | Kirkland & Ellis, LLP |
|--------------|---|
| Case: | Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) |
| Patent No. | US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222,848, US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US 7,397,763, US 7,664,123, US 7,385,998, US 8,607,323 US 6,879,594, US 6,661, 788, US 6,233,245, US 6,684,241, US 6,661, 788, US 6,879,594 |
| Project: | Searched for prior art, invalidity claim charts, and investigation |
| Description: | Network communication, routing, and switching technologies |
| | |
| 2014: | Desmarais, LLP |
| Case: | Bockstar Technologies, LLC v. Cisco Systems , Inc. Case No. 1: 13-cv-2020-SLR (D. Del.) |
| Patent No. | US 5,732,080, US 6,069,895, US 6,233,245, US 6,636,508, US 6,684,241, US 6,778,653 |
| Project: | Research and analysis of the prior art |
| Description: | Network communication, routing, and switching technologies |
| | |
| 2014: | Armstrong Teasdale, LLP |
| Case: | Cisco Systems, Inc USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR) (the petition was not filed) |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 5,583,862 |
| Project: | Expert for petitioner Cisco Systems, Inc., wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB |
| Description: | Patent-related to telecommunications, routing and switching technologies |
| | |
| 2014: | Fish Richardson, LLP |
| Case: | Mobotix - Inter Partes Review IPR2015-00093 |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 6,975,220 |
| Project: | Expert for petitioner Mobotix, wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB |
| Description: | USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR), patent related to network architecture |
| | |
| 2014: | Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP |
| | Brilliant Optical Solutions, LLC v. Comcast |
| Case: | District of Colorado, Case no. 1:13-CV-00886-REB-KMT |
| | and USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR) |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 5,555,478 |
| Project: | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Comcast, wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB IPR (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed) |
| Description: | Patent-related to routers' switching fabric architecture |
| | |

| 2014: | Irell & Manella, LLP, CA |
|--------------|--|
| | Brixham Solutions, LTD, vs. Juniper Networks |
| Case: | 3:13-cv-00616-JCS (N.D. CA) |
| | and USPTO IPR 2014-00425 and IPR 2014-00431 |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 7,940,652 and U.S. Patent No. 7,535,895 |
| Project: | Wrote two invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB |
| 1 Toject. | Deposed |
| | Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Juniper Networks, |
| Description: | patent related to routers' switching fabric architecture and a |
| | patent related to Ethernet pseudo-wire VPN |
| 2013: | McKool Smith (Dallas, TX) |
| | Ericsson v. Samsung (ITC) |
| Case: | ITC Investigation NO. 337-TA-862 |
| Patent No. | US 6,772,215, US 6,519,223 |
| Project: | Wrote several expert reports, was deposed, testified in the ITC |
| Project: | court |
| Description: | Patent-related to cellular systems, CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, |
| Booonpaoni | and wireless network communications |
| 0040- | Kalan O Van Nast II D |
| 2013: | Keker & Van Nest, LLP |
| Case: | Comcast Cable Communications, LLC et al. v. BT Americas, Inc. |
| Case. | 3:12-cv-01712-M (N.D. Tex.) |
| Patent No. | US 7,142,508, US 5,638,516 |
| Project: | Analysis, claim terms, and Markman claim construction |
| Description: | MPLS technology |
| | 3, |
| 2013: | Williams, LLP (KS) |
| Case: | NetAirus Systems LLC v. Kappos |
| | USPTO 1:12-cv-00709-AJT-JFA, 1:12-cv-01207-AJT-TRJ |
| Patent No. | US 5,280,583, US 5,589,849, US 2005/0110780 A1 |
| Project: | Wrote a declaration regarding claim amendment |
| Description: | Video conference application program |
| 2013: | Slater & Matsil, LLP (Dallas, TX) |
| | e-Watch, Inc. v. Mobotix Corp. |
| Case: | USPTO Reexamination |
| Patent No. | U.S. Patent No. 6,970,183 |
| Project: | Expert for petitioner Mobotix Corp. |
| Project: | Wrote an invalidity declaration - USPTO IPR2013-00255 |
| Description: | A wireless monitoring system, wire-line networking |
| | |
| 2012: | Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) |
| Case: | Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination |

| Patent No. | US 6,012,101, US 5,604,509, US 5,577,205 |
|--------------|---|
| Project: | Wrote an invalidity expert report |
| Description: | Patents related to network communications remote KVM |
| | |
| 2012: | Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) |
| Case: | Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) |
| Project: | Wrote an expert report |
| Description: | Cisco certification of IT personnel |
| | |
| 2012: | Kenyon & Kenyon, LLP |
| Case: | HumanEyes Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC) 1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.) |
| Project: | Investigation of patents and related technologies |
| Description: | Software related to mobile devices including panoramic imaging |
| | |
| 2012: | Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP (New York, NY) |
| Case: | Realtime Data v. Morgan Stanley et al 1:11-cv-6696,-6701,-6704 (S.D. N.Y.) |
| Project: | Wrote a rebuttal to an infringement report, was deposed |
| Description: | Technology related to network communication protocol |
| | |
| 2012: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP |
| Case: | Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. Vanguard Bank and PNC Bank |
| Patent No. | US 5,940,510, US 5,949,880, US 6,105,013, US 6,237,095 |
| Project: | Investigation of prior art |
| Description: | Patents litigation related to virtual private networks (VPN) and secure service layer (SSL) |
| 2040 | Folov 9 Londron LLD |
| 2012: | Foley & Lardner, LLP M2M Solutions, LLC v. Sierra Wireless, CA No. 12-030-RGA |
| Case: | (D. Del.) |
| Patent No. | US 7,583.197, US 8,094,010 |
| Project: | Investigation of the prior art, claim charts |
| Description: | Patents related to wireless and mobile devices in cellular systems |
| 2012: | Coldetain 9 Linguis DLLC (Houston TV) |
| 2012: | Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX) Site Update Solutions, LLC v. Accor North America, Inc. et |
| Case: | al. 4:11-CV-03306-PJH (N.D. CA), Ipr2016-01235, Cbs Corp., 15-1448, 13-1458, 5:11cv3306 |

| Patent No. | US RE40.683, US 6,253,198 |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Project: | A declaration |
| | Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e- |
| Description: | commerce |
| | |
| 2012: | Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX) |
| Case: | EIT Holdings LLC V. Netflix and Barnes & Noble Inc. |
| Patent No. | 5:11-CV-02466; 2012 WL 728387, 1:10-CV-01081,2011 WL |
| | 7149251,2011 WL 7149248 |
| Project: | Expert report |
| Description: | Patent-related to Internet and Web technologies |
| | |
| 2012: | Russ, August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA) |
| Case: | Proxyconn, Inc. v. Microsoft et al. |
| | 8:11-cv-1681-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal) |
| Patent No. | US 6,757,717, US 6,370,646 |
| Project: | Investigation of technology, was deposed |
| Description: | Speed data accessing in communication networks |
| | |
| 2012: | Greenberg Traurig, LLP |
| Case: | Walker Digital v. Google 1:11-cv-00318-LPS (D. Del) |
| Patent No. | US 5,884,272 and US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US |
| Tatorit 140. | 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 |
| Project: | Invalidity analysis, investigation of patents and related |
| | technologies |
| Description: | Internet and e-commerce technologies |
| 0040- | Hasia Bias III B (Can Francisco CA) |
| 2012: | Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA) |
| Case: | BackWeb v. HP and IBM 4:10-cv-04311-PJH |
| Project: | Investigated patents and related technologies |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to network communication bandwidth allocation |
| | allocation |
| 2011: | Hogan Lovells US, LLP |
| 2011. | High Point SARL v. Sprint Nextel 2:09-CV-2269 (Kansas City, |
| Case: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | K X) |
| | KN) US 5 884 272 US 5 884 270 US 5 824 082 US 5 136 501 |
| Patent No. | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, |
| | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 |
| Project: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art |
| | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 |
| Project: Description: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems |
| Project: Description: 2011: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems Greenberg Traurig, LLP |
| Project: Description: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems Greenberg Traurig, LLP Emblaze v. Apple |
| Project: Description: 2011: Case: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems Greenberg Traurig, LLP |
| Project: Description: 2011: | US 5,884,272, US 5,884,270, US 5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US 5,832,497 Search for invalidity prior art Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems Greenberg Traurig, LLP Emblaze v. Apple 1:10-cv-5713 -PKC (S.D.N.Y.) |

| | US 5,987,510, US 6,014,694, US 6,151,632, US 5,864,682, |
|---|---|
| | US 5,659,539 |
| Project: | Investigation of patents, invalidity analysis |
| Description: | Computer network protocol for multimedia application |
| | |
| 2011: | Ropes & Gray, LLP (New York, NY) |
| Case: | Motorola Mobility, Inc. v. Microsoft |
| | 1:10-cv-24063-MORENO (S.D. FL) |
| Patent No. | US 7,024,214, US 7,493,130, US 7,725,584, US 6,219,694, |
| | US 5,406,643, US 7,275,116 |
| Project: | Wrote an invalidity expert report, wrote a response to an |
| • | infringement report, deposed 7/21/2011 |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to wireless and mobile devices including smartphones and tablets |
| - | including smartphones and tablets |
| 2011: | Goldstoin & Lincki DLLC (Houston TV) |
| 2011. | Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX) EIT v. YELP! Inc. |
| Case: | 3:10-cv-05623-WHA (N.D. CA) |
| | US 5,828,837, US 6,249,868, US 6,594,765, US 6,081,786, |
| Patent No. | US 6,188,988, US 7,298,271, US RE40,683, US 8,060,504 |
| Project: | Wrote an expert report |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies |
| Bescription. | Tatent migation related to internet and vveb technologies |
| | |
| 2011: | Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA) |
| | Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA) Software Research, Inc. v. HP |
| 2011: Case: | |
| | Software Research, Inc. v. HP |
| Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) |
| Case: Patent No. Project: | Software Research , Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 |
| Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 |
| Case: Patent No. Project: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener |
| Case: Patent No. Project: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: 2011: Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775 |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: 2011: Case: Patent No. | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775 US 6,246,683, US 6,226,680, US 6,697,868 |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: 2011: Case: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775 US 6,246,683, US 6,226,680, US 6,697,868 Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Patent No. Project: | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775 US 6,246,683, US 6,226,680, US 6,697,868 Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response expert report, a reply expert report, was deposed twice |
| Case: Patent No. Project: Description: 2011: Case: Project: Description: 2011: Case: Patent No. | Software Research, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA) US 7,231,606, US 7,757,175 Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and ecommerce David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA) Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG Was deposed and testified in front of an arbitrator Network communications infrastructure Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775 US 6,246,683, US 6,226,680, US 6,697,868 Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response |

| 2010: | Durie Tangri, LLP (Palo Alto, CA) |
|-----------------------|---|
| | Fortinet v. Palo Alto Networks |
| Case: | 5:09-cv-00036-RMW (N.D. CA) |
| Detent No | US 7,519,990, US 1,111,111, ÚS 7,376,125, US 7,177,311, |
| Patent No. | US 6,930,978 |
| Project: | Wrote an invalidity expert report, was deposed |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to network communications filtering |
| | |
| 2010: | Hausfeld, LLP (San Francisco, CA) |
| Case: | Backweb Technologies v. Microsoft |
| | 4:09-cv-01224-CW (N.D. CA) |
| Patent No. | US 6,374,289, US 6,493,758, US 6,539,429, US 5,913,040, US 6,317,789, US 6,539,429, US 7,512,943, US 7,139,815, |
| Paterit No. | US 7,216,180, US 6,920,110, US 7,325,068 |
| Project: | Wrote an expert report |
| | Patent litigation related to network communication bandwidth |
| Description: | allocation |
| | ano dation |
| 2010: | August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA) |
| | Linksmart Wireless v. Cisco Systems, T-Mobile |
| Case: | 4:09-cv-01224-CW (N.D. CA), 2:08-cv-00385,2:09-cv-00026 |
| Patent No. | US 6,779,118 |
| Project: | Wrote an expert report, was deposed |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to network communication dynamically |
| Description. | redirecting and filtering Internet traffic |
| 22.12 | |
| 2010: | Law Offices of Rod Firoozye (Palo Alto, CA) |
| Case: | Zand v. Armin |
| Project: | 1-09-FL-149015 Wrote an expert report, was deposed, testified in court |
| Project: Description: | Email origination in iPhone and laptop |
| Description. | |
| 2010: | The Simon Law Firm, P.C. (St. Louis, MO) |
| | Teleconference System v. Tandberg |
| Case: | 3:10-cv-01325-JSW (N.D. CA) |
| Patent No. | US 6,980,526 |
| Project: | Investigated patent and related technologies |
| Description: | Network protocols in the teleconference system |
| | |
| 2010: | Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP |
| Case: | F5 Networks v. A10 Networks |
| | 2:10-cv-00654-MJP (W.D. WASH) |
| Patent No. | US 7,102,996, US 7,395,349, US 6,311,278 |
| Project: | Invalidity investigation, a search of prior art |
| Description: | Patents related to network communications firewall and load |

| | balancing |
|--------------|--|
| | |
| 2009: | Niro, Scavone, Haller & Niro |
| Case: | IP Innovation v. Google |
| | 2:07-cv-503-RRR (E.D. TX) |
| Patent No. | US 5,276,785, US 5,675,819 |
| Project: | Wrote an infringement expert report and a rebuttal expert |
| r roject. | report |
| Description: | Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies |
| | |
| 2009: | Niro, Scavone, Haller &Niro |
| Case: | Trace Wilco, Inc. v. Cisco |
| Case. | 5:09-cv-000810-SI |
| Patent No. | US 6,158,011, US 6,061,796, US 7,325,063, US 5,796,948 |
| Project: | Investigated patents and related technologies |
| Description: | Network communications protocols |
| | |