

Sample Expert Rebuttal Report

Chronic Pain & CRPS Case

Derron K. Wilson, MD, FIPP

Interventional Pain Management Physician
Goodman Campbell Brain & Spine

Prepared as a representative sample of medical-legal opinion work.
All identifying information has been redacted.

For more information or to request expert services, please contact:

Email: dwilson@goodmancampbell.com | Phone: (317) 476-2464

De-Identified Sample Report



GOODMAN CAMPBELL

BRAIN AND SPINE

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT TO INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION BY [REDACTED]

Patient: the patient Date of Injury: [REDACTED] IME Date: [REDACTED]

Date of report: [REDACTED]

GENERAL CONCERNS AND CRITIQUE OF IME METHODOLOGY

1. SUBJECTIVITY AND LIMITED SCOPE OF SINGLE EXAMINATION

The IME physician's conclusions appear to underestimate the patient's symptoms and impairment by relying primarily on a one-time clinical assessment rather than evaluating longitudinal clinical observations. Chronic pain conditions—especially CRPS—fluctuate day to day and even hour to hour, making it difficult to capture a complete clinical picture in a brief encounter. Without gathering data over multiple points in time, the IME cannot account for key elements like variation in symptom intensity, triggers, and functional limitations that characterize chronic pain.

Why This Matters:

- A single exam may fail to recognize intermittent swelling, temperature changes, or hyperalgesia.
- Important psychosocial or functional considerations, such as morning stiffness or end-of-day pain escalation, can go unnoticed.
- Patterns of improvement or worsening that emerge over weeks or months are disregarded.
- Supporting Reference:
 - Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC. *The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions*. Psychol Bull. 2007;133(4):581-624.

2. INADEQUATE EVALUATION OF CHRONIC PAIN SYNDROMES

Chronic pain syndromes (e.g., musculoskeletal pain, neuropathic pain) require multifaceted assessment methods, including validated pain scales, functional outcomes, and psychosocial evaluation. A single physical exam, particularly if it focuses narrowly on a few objective findings, may overlook critical factors such as pain severity fluctuations, functional capacity, mental health comorbidities, and patient-reported experiences. These components are integral to accurate diagnosis and treatment planning.

NEUROSURGEONS

Vincent J. Alentado, MD
Miracle C. Anokwute, MD
John J. DePowell, MD
Karsten Fryburg, MD
Eric M. Horn, MD, PhD
Michael R. Jones, MD
Saad A. Khairi, MD
Charles G. Kulwin, MD
Albert E. Lee, MD
Shannon P. McCanna, MD
Jean-Pierre Mobasser, MD
Paul S. Page, MD
Vikas K. Parmar, MD
Troy D. Payner, MD
Eric A. Potts, MD
Richard B. Rodgers, MD
Carl J. Sartorius, MD
Kushal J. Shah, MD
Daniel G. Spomar, MD

PEDIATRIC NEUROSURGEON

Jodi L. Smith, PhD, MD
Monica C. Wehby, MD

INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY

Krishna Amuluru, MD
Andrew J. DeNardo, MD
Daniel P. Gibson, MD
Daniel H. Sahlein, MD

INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Christopher M. Doran, MD
Todd E. Schmidt, MD
Derron K. Wilson, MD

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

13345 Illinois Street
Carmel, IN 46032

INFORMATION & APPOINTMENTS

ph 317.396.1300
fax 317.396.1443

www.GoodmanCampbell.com



GOODMAN CAMPBELL

BRAIN AND SPINE

Why This Matters:

- Standardized instruments (e.g., Brief Pain Inventory, Pain Disability Questionnaire) provide objective metrics of function and pain interference.
- Psychiatric or psychological comorbidities (e.g., depression, anxiety) can exacerbate pain perception.
- Supporting References:
 - Turk DC, Melzack R, eds. *Handbook of Pain Assessment*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 2011.
 - Gatchel RJ, Turk DC. *Psychosocial Factors in Pain: Critical Perspectives*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 1999.

3. POTENTIAL BIAS IN IME STRUCTURE

Independent medical examinations commissioned by insurers or legal teams often occur in adversarial contexts introducing potential conflicts of interest. IME physicians may face subtle pressures—financial or otherwise—that can influence findings. A single examination adds another layer of risk, since the complexities of chronic conditions might not be explored in the depth necessary.

Why This Matters:

- A single, insurer-commissioned evaluation might focus on “objective” elements that minimize the perception of severity.
- Patients may be hesitant to discuss or demonstrate the full extent of their impairment in a setting they perceive as adversarial.
- Without longitudinal clinical correlation, the IME report can undervalue or misrepresent the chronic and multifactorial nature of CRPS.
- Supporting Reference:
 - Talmage JB, Melhorn JM, Hyman MH. *AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Disease and Injury Causation*. 2nd ed. Chicago, IL: American Medical Association; 2013.

SPECIFIC CRITIQUE ON COMPLEX REGIONAL PAIN SYNDROME (CRPS) DIAGNOSIS

1. DYNAMIC NATURE OF CRPS

CRPS manifestations—including color changes, temperature asymmetry, swelling, and variable pain intensity—often fluctuate greatly over time. Physical or mental stress, temperature changes, or daily activities can exacerbate or temporarily alleviate symptoms. One-time or short-term observations may thus misinterpret the condition’s severity or even fail to recognize it entirely.

NEUROSURGEONS

Vincent J. Alentado, MD
Miracle C. Anokwute, MD
John J. DePowell, MD
Karsten Fryburg, MD
Eric M. Horn, MD, PhD
Michael R. Jones, MD
Saad A. Khairi, MD
Charles G. Kulwin, MD
Albert E. Lee, MD
Shannon P. McCanna, MD
Jean-Pierre Mobasser, MD
Paul S. Page, MD
Vikas K. Parmar, MD
Troy D. Payner, MD
Eric A. Potts, MD
Richard B. Rodgers, MD
Carl J. Sartorius, MD
Kushal J. Shah, MD
Daniel G. Spomar, MD

PEDIATRIC NEUROSURGEON

Jodi L. Smith, PhD, MD
Monica C. Wehby, MD

INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY

Krishna Amuluru, MD
Andrew J. DeNardo, MD
Daniel P. Gibson, MD
Daniel H. Sahlein, MD

INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Christopher M. Doran, MD
Todd E. Schmidt, MD
Derron K. Wilson, MD

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

13345 Illinois Street
Carmel, IN 46032

INFORMATION & APPOINTMENTS

ph 317.396.1300
fax 317.396.1443

www.GoodmanCampbell.com



GOODMAN CAMPBELL

BRAIN AND SPINE

Why This Matters:

- Edema or temperature differences can diminish spontaneously, leading an examiner to conclude that such signs are absent.
- Pain thresholds may vary by time of day, ambient temperature, or emotional state.
- Failing to document these fluctuations can paint an incomplete picture of the patient's true CRPS presentation.
- Supporting Reference:
 - Birklein F, Schlereth T. *Complex regional pain syndrome—significant progress in understanding*. Pain.2015;156(Suppl 1):S94-S103.

2. CENTRAL ROLE OF PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOMES

Patient-reported measures—such as pain diaries, quality-of-life questionnaires, and self-assessments of function—are crucial for understanding CRPS, a condition where subjective symptoms (e.g., pain, hypersensitivity, burning sensations) can far outweigh visible physical findings. If an IME disregards these subjective reports or does not adequately contextualize them, it risks underestimating the severity and impact of CRPS.

Why This Matters:

- Clinical assessments that omit patient input miss vital cues about pain variability and functional compromise.
- Studies show that validated patient-report instruments reliably capture dimensions of chronic pain not reflected in a brief exam.
- Neglecting patient feedback can lead to incomplete conclusions about the patient's level of disability, rehabilitation needs, and progress.
- Supporting Reference:
 - Bruhl S. *An update on the pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome*. Anesthesiology.2010;113(3):713-725.

3. OVER-RELIANCE ON OBJECTIVE FINDINGS

CRPS may present subtly, and key objective abnormalities—such as skin discoloration, temperature discrepancies, or swelling—can appear or vanish. Overlooking subjective elements (e.g., pain intensity, burning sensations, intermittent hyperalgesia) can severely undermine diagnostic accuracy. An exam that bases conclusions mostly on observations from a single point in time may miss the hallmark intermittency of CRPS, leading to an underestimation or outright dismissal of the condition.

NEUROSURGEONS

Vincent J. Alentado, MD
Miracle C. Anokwute, MD
John J. DePowell, MD
Karsten Fryburg, MD
Eric M. Horn, MD, PhD
Michael R. Jones, MD
Saad A. Khairi, MD
Charles G. Kulwin, MD
Albert E. Lee, MD
Shannon P. McCanna, MD
Jean-Pierre Mobasser, MD
Paul S. Page, MD
Vikas K. Parmar, MD
Troy D. Payner, MD
Eric A. Potts, MD
Richard B. Rodgers, MD
Carl J. Sartorius, MD
Kushal J. Shah, MD
Daniel G. Spomar, MD

PEDIATRIC NEUROSURGEON

Jodi L. Smith, PhD, MD
Monica C. Wehby, MD

INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY

Krishna Amuluru, MD
Andrew J. DeNardo, MD
Daniel P. Gibson, MD
Daniel H. Sahlein, MD

INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Christopher M. Doran, MD
Todd E. Schmidt, MD
Derron K. Wilson, MD

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

13345 Illinois Street
Carmel, IN 46032

INFORMATION & APPOINTMENTS

ph 317.396.1300
fax 317.396.1443

www.GoodmanCampbell.com



GOODMAN CAMPBELL

BRAIN AND SPINE

Why This Matters:

- Signs like temperature asymmetry can be transient, varying with environment and time.
- Mild or moderate edema might be missed if it spontaneously subsided on the exam day.
- Focusing solely on these objective factors without corroborating patient accounts can yield a misleadingly benign picture.
- Additional Supporting References:
 - Marinus J, Moseley GL, Birklein F, Baron R, Maihöfner C, Kingery WS, de Mos M. *Clinical features and pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome*. Lancet Neurol. 2011;10(7):637-648.
 - Goebel A. *Complex regional pain syndrome in adults*. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2011;50(10):1739-1750.
 - Sebastin SJ. *Complex regional pain syndrome*. Indian J Plast Surg. 2011;44(2):298-307.

4. NEED FOR MULTIDISCIPLINARY ASSESSMENT

Consensus guidelines—from organizations such as the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP)—recommend a multidisciplinary approach for CRPS involving pain specialists, physical therapists, psychologists, and occupational therapists. A single-discipline IME may lack the breadth needed to capture the interplay between neurological, musculoskeletal, and psychosocial factors inherent in CRPS.

Why This Matters:

- Input from different specialties ensures that subtle but critical features (e.g., motor dystonia, psychological barriers) are identified.
- A purely orthopedic or medical exam might overlook cognitive or psychosocial contributors.
- Guideline-based management of CRPS typically involves combined therapies; if these are not referenced, the IME may fall short.
- Supporting Reference:
 - Wilson PR, Stanton-Hicks M, Harden RN. *CRPS: Current Diagnosis and Therapy*. Seattle, WA: IASP Press; 2005.

NEUROSURGEONS

Vincent J. Alentado, MD
Miracle C. Anokwute, MD
John J. DePowell, MD
Karsten Fryburg, MD
Eric M. Horn, MD, PhD
Michael R. Jones, MD
Saad A. Khairi, MD
Charles G. Kulwin, MD
Albert E. Lee, MD
Shannon P. McCanna, MD
Jean-Pierre Mobasser, MD
Paul S. Page, MD
Vikas K. Parmar, MD
Troy D. Payner, MD
Eric A. Potts, MD
Richard B. Rodgers, MD
Carl J. Sartorius, MD
Kushal J. Shah, MD
Daniel G. Spomar, MD

PEDIATRIC NEUROSURGEON

Jodi L. Smith, PhD, MD
Monica C. Wehby, MD

INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY

Krishna Amuluru, MD
Andrew J. DeNardo, MD
Daniel P. Gibson, MD
Daniel H. Sahlein, MD

INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Christopher M. Doran, MD
Todd E. Schmidt, MD
Derron K. Wilson, MD

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

13345 Illinois Street
Carmel, IN 46032

INFORMATION & APPOINTMENTS

ph 317.396.1300
fax 317.396.1443

www.GoodmanCampbell.com

[REDACTED] OFFICE NOTE BY [REDACTED]

The patient's office visit with the treating physician on [REDACTED] documented persistent features consistent with CRPS in the left upper extremity (LUE): measurable temperature asymmetry (vasomotor changes), swelling of the left hand (sudomotor changes), severe range-of-motion limitations (motor/trophic), and profound sensory disturbances (burning pain, hypersensitivity). These clinical findings align closely with recognized CRPS indicators and underscore the condition's



GOODMAN CAMPBELL

BRAIN AND SPINE

complexity. Crucially, they highlight how a single exam could miss or downplay these manifestations if they were not present or not elicited at the time.

CONCLUSION

The IME physician's IME, by virtue of its single-visit structure and limited documentation, risks underestimating the severity and multifactorial nature of the patient's chronic pain and CRPS. In contrast, the [REDACTED] office note from the treating physician offers comprehensive findings consistent with accepted diagnostic criteria, reinforcing the need for continued multidisciplinary care. A single IME struggles to capture the complex and fluctuating nature of CRPS, whereas more longitudinal and multifaceted evaluations provide a more accurate picture of the patient's true clinical status.

I submit this rebuttal, and all opinions herein based upon my professional training, clinical experience, and a review of the patient's medical records, history, and symptom presentation. It is my medical opinion, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty and more likely than not, that the patient's presentation is consistent with CRPS. This conclusion is grounded in recognized diagnostic criteria, peer-reviewed clinical guidelines, and well-established pathophysiological insights, as set forth in the preceding argument. I believe these findings, along with the supportive evidence detailed above, underscore that the IME performed by the IME physician understates the complexity and severity of the patient's condition.

Sincerely,

Derron K. Wilson, MD, FIPP

Interventional Pain Management Physician

Goodman Campbell Brain & Spine

NEUROSURGEONS

Vincent J. Alentado, MD
Miracle C. Anokwute, MD
John J. DePowell, MD
Karsten Fryburg, MD
Eric M. Horn, MD, PhD
Michael R. Jones, MD
Saad A. Khairi, MD
Charles G. Kulwin, MD
Albert E. Lee, MD
Shannon P. McCanna, MD
Jean-Pierre Mobasser, MD
Paul S. Page, MD
Vikas K. Parmar, MD
Troy D. Payner, MD
Eric A. Potts, MD
Richard B. Rodgers, MD
Carl J. Sartorius, MD
Kushal J. Shah, MD
Daniel G. Spomar, MD

PEDIATRIC NEUROSURGEON

Jodi L. Smith, PhD, MD
Monica C. Wehby, MD

INTERVENTIONAL NEURORADIOLOGY

Krishna Amuluru, MD
Andrew J. DeNardo, MD
Daniel P. Gibson, MD
Daniel H. Sahlein, MD

INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Christopher M. Doran, MD
Todd E. Schmidt, MD
Derron K. Wilson, MD

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

13345 Illinois Street
Carmel, IN 46032

INFORMATION & APPOINTMENTS

ph 317.396.1300
fax 317.396.1443

www.GoodmanCampbell.com