Curriculum Vitae of Brian M. Sowers

Applied Marketing Science, Inc. 303 Wyman Street, Suite 205 Waltham, MA 02451

tham, MA 02451 E-mail:<u>bsowers@ams-inc.com</u>

EDUCATION

2012 University of Colorado, Colorado Springs

Master of Business Administration

1995 Roanoke College

Bachelor of Arts in History

EMPLOYMENT

2014 – Present

Principal and Practice Lead APPLIED MARKETING SCIENCE, INC., Waltham, MA

• Lead the firm's Litigation Support practice to support expert testimony in civil cases through survey research and other marketing science initiatives.

Voice: (781) 250-6313

Fax: (781) 684-0075

- Manage referrals and support affiliated academic experts in matters where consumer opinions and behaviors are an important determinant of liability and damages.
- Manage case teams in complex cases (e.g., trademark and trade dress infringement, class action matters, false and deceptive advertising, antitrust issues and patent damages).
- Assist attorneys with assessing the benefits of collecting market research data, critique opposing expert reports, and prepare experts for deposition and trial questioning.
- Provide expert research consultation, expert witness testimony, and rebuttal critiques for consumer surveys designed for trademark, trade dress, false advertising, and class action litigation.

2011 – 2014 Senior Manager

APPLIED MARKETING SCIENCE, INC., Waltham, MA

 Supported consumer survey expert witnesses and attorneys in market research survey design and provided consultation to help experts prepare for deposition and trial testimony.

- Managed litigation consulting projects involving consumer surveys in trademark, false advertising, class action, and patent infringement matters.
- Coordinated all aspects of research project, including survey design, fieldwork, data analysis, and report development.

2003 – 2011 Senior Project Manager FORBES CONSULTING GROUP, Lexington, MA

- Independently led all phases of custom quantitative and qualitative research for an industry leading marketing research supplier dedicated to consumer-driven business analysis.
- Helped clients identify new marketplace opportunities, developed communication and positioning strategies, measured brand equity, and increased customer satisfaction and retention.
- Managed approximately \$2.5 million of custom research annually.

2002 – 2003 Senior Analyst LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION, Fairfax, VA

- Developed market analyses and competitive strategies for multiple lines of business.
- Granted Top Secret security clearance for the position.

1999 – 2002 Market Research Analyst MCI WORLDCOM, Arlington, VA

- Designed, executed, analyzed, and delivered quantitative and qualitative market research to support internal marketing clients.
 Insights helped carry singularly focused telecom giant into a world of multiple competitors and diverse product lines.
- Required a heightened awareness of technology shifts, a sense of consumer appetite, and readiness to navigate the shifting landscape.
- Honed research skills in variable research methodologies. Received multiple individual and team awards.

1996 – 1999 Project Manager MARKETING ANALYSTS, INC., Charleston, SC

• Managed custom quantitative market research for a leading Honomichl 50 research supplier.

EXPERT WITNESS (expert opinions and testimony in the last 5 years)

Richard Plass et al. v **Sanimax USA LLC** Case No. 2015-cv-000165, Wisconsin Circuit Court, Brown County Class Certification (2016 Report)

Smart Vent Products, Inc. v Crawl Space Door System, Inc. Case No. 01:13-cv-05691, United States District Court, District of New Jersey Genericness (2016 Report)

Blumenthal Distributing, Inc. v Herman Miller, Inc.

Case No. 5:14-cv-01926, United States District Court, Central District of California Trade Dress Confusion (2016 Report)

Health New England, Inc. v Trinity Health Corporation Case No. 3:15-cv-30206, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts Trademark Confusion (2016 Report)

Reebok-CCM Hockey v Bauer Hockey Corp. Canadian Trademark Opposition No. 1496949 Secondary Meaning (2016 Report and Deposition)

Avintiv Specialty Materials, Inc. v 3M Company and Target Corporation Case No. 3:15-cv-212, United States District Court, Western District of North Carolina Genericness (2016 Report)

Adidas International Marketing BV v Bauer Hockey Corp. Canadian Trademark Opposition No. 1564931 and No. 1564934 Secondary Meaning (2016 Report and Deposition)

Lifeguard Licensing Corp. v Ann Arbor T-Shirt Company, LLC Case No. 1:15-cv-08459-LGS-JCF, United States District Court, Southern District of New York Trademark Confusion (2016 Report and Deposition)

UDAP Industries, Inc. v Bushwacker Backpack & Supply Co. Case No: 2:16-cv-00027-BMM-JCL, United States District Court, District of Montana False Advertising (2017 Report)

Custom Cutlery, LLC v Leigh Churnick

Case No: 1:16-cv-24491-FAM, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida Secondary Meaning (2017 Report)

The Hilsinger Company v Kleen Concepts, LLC

Case No: 14-cv-14714, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts Trademark Confusion (2017 Report and Deposition)

James Brickman, et al. v Fitbit, Inc.

Case No: 3:15-cv-2077, United States District Court, Northern District of California Class Certification (2017 Report and Deposition)

Monster Energy Company v William J. Martin

TTAB Opposition No. 92064681 Trademark Confusion (2017 Report)

Milk Street Cafe, Inc v CPK Media

Case No: 1:16-cv-11416-DJC, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts Secondary Meaning (2017 Report, Deposition, and Trial Testimony)

Organic Consumers Association v Handsome Brook Farm, LLC

Case No: 2016-CA-006223-B, Superior Court of the District of Columbia Civil Division False Advertising (2017 Report)

Glaxo Group Limited v Ansun Biopharma, Inc.

TTAB Opposition No. 91224991 Trademark Confusion (2017 Report)

Eastern Savings Bank, F.S.B. v Eastern Savings Bank

Case No: 3:17-cv-00708, United States District Court, District of Connecticut Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

Eveden Inc. v Color Image Apparel, Inc.

Case No: 2:17-cv-02121-MWF-JC, United States District Court, Central District of California Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

The Choice is Yours, Inc. v The City of Philadelphia

Case No: 2:14-cv-10804-JFL, United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

Palm Partners, LLC v Palm Beach Treatment Center, LLC

Case No: 9:17-cv-80582-RLR, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida Trademark Confusion (2018 Report and Deposition)

Herman Miller, Inc. v Office Star Products

Case No. 2:17-cv-04279-JAK, United States District Court, Central District of California Trade Dress Confusion (2018 Report and Deposition)

HP Hood, LLC v Cytosport, Inc.

Case No. 01-17-0001-7218, American Arbitration Association Consumer Behavior Survey (2018 Report, Deposition, and Arbitration Testimony)

Hasbro, Inc. v DC Comics and Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.

Case No. 1:17-cv-06558, United States District Court, Southern District of New York Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

Brooks Sports, Inc. v Anta Co. Ltd.

Case No. 1:17-cv-01458, United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

Ford Motor Company v Geely Holding Group Co. Ltd.

TTAB Opposition No. 91239104

Trademark Confusion (2018 Report)

Spangler Candy Company v Tootsie Roll Industries, LLC

Case No: 3:18-cv-01146-JJH, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio Trade Dress Confusion (2018 Report and Deposition)

Glaxo Group Limited v Canadian Pharmaceutical Association

Canadian Trademark Opposition No. 1626790 and No. 1626792 Secondary Meaning (2018 Report and Deposition)

Lodestar Anstalt v Route 66 Junkyard Brewery, LLC

Case No: 1:17-cv-00062-JCH-JHR, United States District Court, District of New Mexico Trademark Confusion (2019 Report)

Judith Marilyn Donoff v Delta Air Lines, Inc.

Case No: 18-cv-81258, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida False Advertising (2019 Report and Deposition)

Asurion, LLC v Netsurion, LLC

TTAB Opposition No. 91231568

Trademark Confusion (2019 Report)

Maui Jim, Inc. v SmartBuy Guru Enterprises

Case No: 16-cv-09788, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois False Advertising (2019 Report and Deposition)

Monster Energy Company v PJ Trailers Manufacturing Company, Inc.

TTAB Opposition No. 92067968

Trademark Confusion (2019 Report)

Louisiana Pacific Corporation v James Hardie Building Products, Inc.

Case No: 3:18-cv-00447, United States District Court, Middle District of Tennessee False Advertising (2019 Report and Deposition)

Milita Barbara Dolan v JetBlue Airways Corporation

Case No: 18-cv-62193-RNS, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida False Advertising (2019 Report and Deposition)

Provepharm, Inc. v Akorn, Inc.

Case No: 17-cv-7087, United States District Court, Eastern District of New York False Advertising (2019 Report and Deposition)

Mercury Luggage Manufacturing Co. v **Sandpiper of California** TTAB Opposition No. 92070168

Genericness (2019 Report)

Undiscovered Corporation v Heist Studios

Case No: 2:18-cv-05719, United States District Court, Central District of California Trademark Confusion (2019 Report)

International Association of Home Inspectors v American Society of Home Inspectors

Case No: 1:18:CV-01797-RBJ, United States District Court, District of Colorado False Advertising (2019 Report)

Simplehuman v iTouchless Housewares

Case No: 2:19-cv-02351, United States District Court, Central District of California Trade Dress Confusion (2020 Report)

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

International Trademark Association (INTA)

- Member Impact Studies Committee (2018-2019)
- Member Famous and Well-Known Marks Committee (2020-)

Council of American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO)

Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences (INFORMS)

American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR)